# ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

**SUBJECT:** (Optional)

The DDA Quarterly Review

| FROM: | | EXTENSION | NO. | |
|-------|---|-----------|-----|---|
| Deputy Director of Security (P&M) | | | DATE | 7 FEB 1984 |

| TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) | DATE | | OFFICER'S INITIALS | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | RECEIVED | FORWARDED | | |
| 1. C/PPG | 2/7 | 2/7 | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3. | | | | |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5. | | | | |
| 6. | | | | |
| 7. | | | | |
| 8. | | | | |
| 9. | | | | |
| 10. | | | | |
| 11. | | | | |
| 12. | | | | |
| 13. | | | | |
| 14. | | | | |
| 15. | | | | |

FORM 1-79 **610** USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

STAT

7 FEB 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:   Chief, Policy and Plans Group

STAT   FROM:                  [        ]
                              Deputy Director of Security (P&M)

SUBJECT:               The DDA Quarterly Review


      1.   As I mentioned at my morning staff meeting, the
Director of Security expressed his views that the quarterly
review of 1 February 1984 went extremely well--in his words
"the best ever." My informal notes reflect that there were
the following action/information items:

      * The NID's now regularly carry items on
        terrorist activity, and the Office of Security
        should make it a point to get on the distribu-
        tion list.  (The DD/PTAS expressed a strong
        interest in seeing the NID's and he thought SAG
        already gets it).

      * A recent weekly report to the DDA, possibly
        from OC, indicated that the Office of Security
STAT        assumed responsibility for the "DAC" [        ]
STAT        [        ] I have a recollection that PPG in
STAT        general, [                              ] was
STAT        involved in negotiations re the [        ]
        [        ] Center--which is unmanned.  Let's
        check this out.

      * The DDA expressed an interest in "borrowing"
STAT        the SCI handbook, which was covered in [        ]
STAT        [        ] presentation.  It was my distinct
        impression that Mr. Fitzwater merely wants to
        go through the handbook but not retain it.  Let's
        check with the Special Security Center to be sure
        this is handled, but properly controlled.

*Done 2/3/84*

*Done in the printer in the Registry It's*

*Done 2/3/84*   STAT

    *   In terms of the budget, Mr. Fitzwater mentioned at the end of the session that we just have to pump a lot more money into polygraph research. This was in the context that there is a strong Congressional attack on the validity of polygraph testing, and we must have current scientific research to defend the program. If you recall, the Director of Security mentioned this item at the staff meeting on 2 February, and it was his view that there are certain polygraph research activities which have gone on for years, on a small modest scale, and we have to get some answers in the not too distant future. As the bottom line, both the Director of Security and the Deputy Director for Administration appear to be strongly supportive of throwing some big bucks into polygraph research in FY 86.

    2. In getting ready for the next quarterly review, I would urge that we attempt early on to develop an agenda covering at least 80-90 percent of our program. I should think we will have to shift back to PSI and CD/SSD on major goals of a personnel security nature.

    3. Where it is possible to do so, I think it is usful to press a "theme," such as was done the last time. The thought occurs that there has been a lot of recent publicity about espionage cases, and KGB expulsions around the world--which would lead to a "CI" theme. I would think

STAT  that the Security Analysis Group, 

STAT  might address a few significant cases from the recent past--security restrictions permitting. SAG has conducted a number of "post mortems," in conjunction with the Psychiatric Staff, OMS. Possibly Polygraph Division could focus on some significant CI oriented operational cases from 1983. Security Education Group might highlight the

STAT  defector presentation and/or give the forum to talk about his program, which has a CI flavor.

    4. I should also mention that there is going to be

STAT  a major presentation to the Director of Security

STAT  on the major changes taking place in Security Records Division. If you recall, the DDA asked several questions concerning our records destruction policies,

STAT  and a detailed briefing by [           ] would seem to fit in
STAT  nicely. [       ] has been the "technical" expert in SRD, with
STAT  backup help now coming from [               ] In any case, if
STAT  we go with this on the agenda, [    ] should be given a lot of
      lead time to make it a first class presentation.

STAT